



**PROCEEDINGS OF
EMF ENGINEERING REVIEW SYMPOSIUM**

Status and Summary of EMF Engineering Research

April 28-29, 1998
Charleston, South Carolina

**Electric and Magnetic Fields
Research and Public Information
Dissemination Program**

EMFRAPID



EMF ENGINEERING REVIEW SYMPOSIUM



Status and Summary of EMF Engineering Research



Organizers

Dr. Imre Gyuk
U.S. Department of Energy

Dr. Paul Gailey
Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL)

Technical Program Coordinator

Dr. T. Dan Bracken
T. Dan Bracken, Inc.

Symposium Advisory Panel

Mr. Fred Dietrich
Electric Research and Management

Dr. Daniel A. Driscoll
New York State Department of Public Service

Professor Katsuo Isaka
University of Tokushima, Japan

Dr. Rob Kavet
Electric Power Research Institute

Dr. Alan Preece
University of Bristol, UK

Dr. Luciano Zaffanella
Enertech Consultants, Inc.



Prepared for

Oak Ridge National Laboratory
Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831-2008
Managed and operated by Lockheed Martin Energy Research Corporation
for the
U.S. Department of Energy
under Contract DE-AC05-96OR22464

By

T. Dan Bracken
T. Dan Bracken, Inc.
Portland, Oregon 97202

and

Judith H. Montgomery
Judith H. Montgomery/Communications
Portland, Oregon 97212

June 1998

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction	I-1
The RAPID Engineering Program and Technical Perspectives	
Synopsis (Paul Gailey)	1-1
Presentation	1-7
Technical Perspectives	1-10
Field Parameters	
Synopsis and Presentation (William Bailey)	2-1
Summary of Discussion	2-10
Instrumentation and Measurement Technology	
Synopsis and Presentation (Gary B. Johnson)	3-1
Summary of Discussion	3-13
Exposure Systems	
Synopsis and Presentation (Martin Misakian)	4-1
Summary of Discussion	4-8
Quality Assurance	
Synopsis and Presentation (Fred Dietrich)	5-1
Summary of Discussion	5-14
Field Computation Models:	
A: Calculations of ELF Electric and Magnetic Fields in Air	
B: Computations in Biological Systems	
Synopsis and Presentation	
A (Robert Olsen)	6-1
B (William Bailey)	6-9
Summary of Discussion	6-16
Source and Environment Characterization	
Synopsis (Robert M. Patterson)	7-1
Presentation	7-6
Summary of Discussion	7-7

Table of Contents...continued

Personal Exposure Characteristics

Synopsis (Richard Rankin and T. Dan Bracken) 8-1
Presentation 8-9
Summary of Discussion 8-11

Modeling EMF Personal Exposure

Synopsis (T. Dan Bracken) 9-1
Presentation 9-9
Summary of Discussion 9-11

Surrogates for Personal Exposure

Synopsis (Robert M. Patterson) 10-1
Presentation 10-6
Summary of Discussion 10-10

Occupational and Non-residential Exposures

Synopsis (Robert M. Patterson) 11-1
Presentation 11-7
Summary of Discussion 11-9

General Public Exposures

Synopsis (T. Dan Bracken) 12-1
Presentation 12-7
Summary of Discussion 12-17

Field-management Technologies

Synopsis (Gary B. Johnson) 13-1
Presentation 13-13
Summary of Discussion 13-15

Policy Implications

Synopsis (Raymond Neutra) 14-1
Presentation 14-8
Summary of Discussion 14-12

Recurring Themes 15-1

Table of Contents...continued

Appendix A: Agenda and List of Participants

Appendix B: Summary of RAPID Engineering Projects

Appendix C: Submitted Written Comments

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 3-1	Simple magnetic-field meter	3-2
3-2	Frequency response of linear broad-band, flat broad-band, and narrow-band magnetic-field meters to a reference field of 1 mG	3-3
3-3	Field magnitude of a fluorescent light at the first 15 harmonics of 60 Hz . . .	3-4
3-4	Free-body electric-field meter	3-5
3-5	Ground reference electric-field meter	3-5
6-1	Cross-section of an overhead power line geometry	6-3
9-1	Relation between residential measurements in the center of rooms and residential personal exposure	9-13
10-1	Variability of log personal exposure explained by surrogate measures	10-8
10-2	Results: Measurements while bonded to 500-kV conductor	10-9
11-1	Summary of time-weighted fields in all surveyed sites	11-3
12-1	Distributions of average long-term measurements [EMDEX Residential study and RAPID Project #6]	12-3
12-2	Distribution of 24-hour average magnetic-field estimates for the U.S. Population	12-9
12-3	Distribution of average 24-hour magnetic-field comparison with log-normal distribution	12-10
12-4	Percentage of the U.S. population with 24-hour average field exceeding given values	12-11
12-5	Correlation coefficients: linear regression between exposure metrics	12-12
12-6	Distribution of average magnetic field during different activities estimates for the U.S. population	12-13

List of Figures...continued

12-7	Parameters of the distribution of 24-hour averages for different genders, age groups and region	12-15
12-8	Comparison of data from different studies	12-16
13-1	Magnetic-field equations for four different wire configurations	13-2
13-2	Multiple grounding paths in a neighborhood	13-3
13-3	Net Current control device inductively couples the neutral and voltage conductors together	13-3
13-4	Example of a low-field electric range element compared to a typical electric range element	13-4
13-5	Midspan compaction of an existing line using interphase-insulators without line structure changes	13-4
13-6	Two split-phase line designs	13-5
13-7	Example of a compact vertical 115-kV low-field split-phase line	13- 5
13-8	To minimize <i>fringing</i> of the magnetic field around the edges of the shield, the material shield should enclose either the area to be shielded or the field source	13-7
14-1	Branches of a basic decision tree for these venues	14-9
14-2	Degree of certainty is usually a shade of gray, now snow white (safe) or coal black (beyond a doubt)	14-10

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1-1	Focus of project by type of assessment	1-7
2-1	Selected magnetic-field parameters with reference to selected hypothesized biological mechanisms	2-9
3-1	Estimated sources of uncertainties in magnetic-field assessment	3-14
5-1	Quality-control site visits and verification measurements of exposure conditions	5-8
6-1	Numerical modeling of induced electric field and current density in whole and partial body anatomical models	6-12
8-1	The wire code paradox—or is it?	8-10

